

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,891	07/14/2003	Victor L. Vines	22001.00014	6861
75	590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER	
Steven Thrasher			NGUYEN, VI X	
391 Sandhill Di	r.			
Richardson, TX 75080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. ,e			Sp
<u>-</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/618,891	VINES ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Victor X. Nguyen	3734
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perious tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC  ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	July 2003.	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
<b>4</b> )⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clartion requirement	·
اکا(ہ	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad		•
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
			#
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	al de la	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>		
	application from the International Bure	-	Trocorou III allo Matichal Clage
` * 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received.
`			
Attachmen	nt(s)		•

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/618,891 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a vacuum extraction monitoring system, classified in class

606, subclass 123.

II. Claims 12-18, drawn to a method of aiding a person who is assisting with fetal

extraction, classified in class 604, subclass 35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process for its practice. The inventions are

distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

materially different product, or (2) the product as claimed can be used to practice another and

materially different process of using that product. (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In this case the product

as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as a vacuum

extraction monitoring system that does not include a pump as recited. The method of invention

II does not recite the steps necessitating the need to induce a vacuum pressure as recited, and

therefore is not limited to be performed by the vacuum extraction system of invention I. Because

these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in

the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated

is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species I in figure 6

Species II in figure 7

Application/Control Number: 10/618,891 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

Species III in figure 8

Species IV in figure 9

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and <u>a listing of all claims readable</u>

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,891

Art Unit: 3734

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor X Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 3734

VN 8/9/2006

MAHage

Nguyan Orchor

Page 4

MICHAEL J. HAYES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER